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GLOSSARY OF ACRONYMS

ADV  adefovir dipivoxil
AIDS  acquired immunodeficiency syndrome
ALT  alanine aminotransferase
anti-HAV IgM  IgM antibody to hepatitis A
anti-HBe  antibodies to the HBe antigen
anti-HBs  antibodies to the HBs antigen
anti-HBs  antibodies to the HBs antigen
ART  antiretroviral treatment
CHB  chronic hepatitis B
CHC  chronic hepatitis C
CI  confidence interval
DNA  deoxyribonucleic acid
ETV  entecavir
FTC  emtricitabine
HAART  highly active antiretroviral therapy
HAI  histological activity index
HAV  hepatitis A virus
HBcAg  hepatitis B core antigen
HBeAg  hepatitis B e antigen
HBIG  hepatitis B immunoglobulin
HBsAg  hepatitis B s antigen
HBV  hepatitis B virus
HCC  hepatocellular carcinoma
HCV  hepatitis C virus
HDV  hepatitis D virus
HIV  human immunodeficiency virus
HROoL  health-related quality of life
IDU  injecting drug user
IFN  standard interferon alfa (2a or 2b)
INR  international normalised ration
ITT  intention-to-treat
IU  International Units
LAM  lamivudine
LAM-R  lamivudine resistance
MIU  million international units
ml  millilitre
MU  million units
PCR  polymerase chain reaction
pegIFN  pegylated interferon alfa (2a or 2b)
QALY  quality-adjusted life-year
QoL  quality of life
RCT  randomised controlled trial
RNA  ribonucleic acid
TDF  tenofovir
ULN  upper limit of normal range

QUALITY OF EVIDENCE ON WHICH A RECOMMENDATION IS BASED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Randomised controlled trials.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II-1</td>
<td>Controlled trials without randomisation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II-2</td>
<td>Cohort or case controlled studies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II-3</td>
<td>Multiple time series, dramatic uncontrolled experiments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Opinion of respected authorities. Descriptive epidemiology.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
While prophylactic vaccination has significantly reduced the incidence of de novo hepatitis B virus (HBV) infections among populations where it has been introduced, chronic hepatitis B (CHB) infection remains a serious global public health challenge.

CHB affects over 400 million people worldwide, approximately 75% of whom reside in Asia and the Western Pacific. Although many individuals eventually achieve a state of nonreplicative infection, the prolonged immunological response to infection leads to the development of cirrhosis, liver failure, or hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) in up to 40% of people. Each year around 1.2 million die of HBV-related chronic liver disease. CHB is the major cause of HCC, causing 60-80% of the world’s liver cancer.

Acute and chronic HBV infection is a major public health problem in Australia and New Zealand even though both countries are considered by the World Health Organisation (WHO) to have a low prevalence (<2%) of HBV infection. However population groups with a high prevalence of HBV markers exist within both countries.

### Australia

Recent estimates of the prevalence of CHB infection obtained from the first national serosurvey in Australia in 1996–99 range from 91,500 to 163,500 persons (0.49-0.87%).

This is considerably more than the number infected with the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and comparable to the estimated 260,000 people infected with hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection. There are 6,000-8,000 new notifications to the National Notifiable Diseases Surveillance System (NNDSS) annually.

### New Zealand

Recent conservative figures estimate 67,000 people in New Zealand (NZ) have CHB infection. The prevalence of HBsAg among 177,000 participants in the successful NZ Hepatitis B Screening Programme was 5.6% for Maori, 7.3% for Pacific Islanders, 6.2% for Asian and 0.43% for New Zealanders of European extraction.
There is a clear need for targeted screening for HBV infection in individuals from high-risk groups. CHB infection acquired at birth or in early childhood usually remains an asymptomatic disease until late in life when liver failure or liver cancer can develop in up to 40% of cases.

Individuals migrating from high-prevalence countries have the same rates of infection as that of their birth country, for example Vietnamese or Chinese migrants living in Australia have an 8-10% prevalence of CHB infection.

Table 1 lists the groups at higher risk of infection who should be screened for HBV infection. (III) 23

Studies in Australia 24 and the US 25 demonstrate between 30-65% of chronically infected adults are unaware they are infected until they were screened. Given the high prevalence of unrecognised CHB infection, it is paramount that health care providers routinely screen for infection in at risk groups.

Identification of HBV infection in the asymptomatic phase has become an effective strategy. Therapy and cancer surveillance have both been shown to improve outcomes in selected patients. Modelling in the US has established the cost effectiveness of screening high-risk patients. 26 Importantly, there is also likely to be a benefit to the wider community as infected individuals can be educated about their infectivity, at risk contacts can be offered vaccination, and potentially, transmission can be reduced.

### Table 1: Groups at High Risk for HBV Infection

| Persons born in hyperendemic areas | Individuals born in areas of high a and intermediate prevalence rates b for HBV including immigrants and adopted children:  23
| Asia: All countries (except Sri Lanka) |
| Africa: All countries |
| South Pacific Islands: All countries and territories |
| Middle East: All countries (except Cyprus) |
| Western Europe: Greece, Italy, Malta, Portugal, and Spain |
| Eastern Europe: all countries (except Hungary) |
| The Arctic: indigenous populations |
| South America: Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Ecuador, Guyana, Suriname, Venezuela, and Amazon region of Colombia and Peru |
| Central America: Belize, Guatemala, Honduras, and Panama |
| Caribbean: Antigua and Barbuda, Dominica, Dominican Republic, Grenada, Haiti, Jamaica, Puerto Rico, St. Kitts and Nevis, St. Lucia, St. Vincent and Grenadines, Trinidad and Tobago, and Turks and Caico |

| Other high risk groups | All patients undergoing chemo- or immuno-suppressive therapy |
| Indigenous populations 4 |
| Household contact with someone diagnosed with CHB 5 |
| Injecting drug used |
| Men who have sex with men 4 |
| Inmates of correctional facilities 6 |
| Infected with hepatitis C virus (HCV) or HIV 6 |
| Patients undergoing renal dialysis 6 |

---

a HBsAg prevalence >8% ; b HBsAg prevalence 2 to 7% ; c If HBsAg-positive persons are found in the first generation, subsequent generations should be tested ; d Those who are seronegative should receive hepatitis B vaccine
**NATURAL HISTORY**

Hepatitis B is an immune-based disorder in which the extent of disease as well as the frequency and quality of virologic response are profoundly influenced by the depth of the host immunologic response. The immunologic interface between the host and the replicating virus also determines the extent to which liver disease becomes evident.

**Acute HBV**

Acute hepatitis B develops 6-12 weeks following exposure to the virus and is marked by serological and biochemical evidence of infection. HBV related symptoms are rare in the perinatal setting but relatively common in adult-acquired infection. Mortality from development of acute liver failure occurs in <1% of cases.

Acute HBV infection has variable outcomes. Depending on the interactions among several virus and host-related variables, complete recovery with development of anti-HBV immunity may occur or acute infection may evolve into chronic infection. Progression to chronic infection varies from 90% among perinatally exposed (and unvaccinated) infants, to 30% among children age under 5 years, to <5% for adults.

Using sensitive assays, HBV DNA can be detected in liver tissue up to 10 years after ‘recovery’ from an acute HBV infection. This may account for immunosuppressive therapy-induced reactivation of HBV replication in persons with serological markers of resolved HBV infection.

**Progression to Chronic HBV Infection**

CHB is defined as persistent detection of HBsAg for >6 months after initial exposure to the virus. The natural history of CHB can be categorised into four successive phases of variable duration (Figure 1). To determine the phase of CHB in an individual both hepatic and viral factors are considered.

**Phase 1 - Immune Tolerance**

This early phase is characterised by normal serum ALT levels despite the presence of hepatitis B e antigen (HBeAg) and HBV DNA in serum, indicating a lack of host immune response against HBV infected hepatocytes. Spontaneous and treatment-induced HBeAg seroconversion is infrequent (<5% per year). In those who remain in this phase, disease progression appears to be minimal. However, immune-tolerant patients will at some point progress to the immune clearance phase and may experience disease progression.

**Phase 2 - Immune Clearance**

The immune clearance phase is characterised by persistently or intermittently elevated ALT levels, fluctuating viral loads and moderate-to-high levels of liver inflammation. The factors that lead to loss of immune tolerance and the development of an active host immune response against infected hepatocytes are unclear. Rapid progression of liver disease can occur during this phase.

In some cases the host immune response will result in seroconversion of HBeAg to anti-HBe accompanied by a state of non-replicative infection, characterised by low or undetectable serum HBV DNA and normal ALT levels. The annual rate of spontaneous HBeAg seroconversion is estimated to be approximately 5-15%. Following HBeAg seroconversion there is a relatively low risk of disease progression. However increasing viraemia and recurrent hepatitis after serocconversion may indicate the development of phase 4 immune escape.

The spontaneous development of anti-HBs and clearance of HBsAg occurs annually in 0.5-2% of people with CHB in western countries. Clearance of HBsAg signifies resolution of the chronic infection.

**Phase 3 - Immune Control**

This phase is characterised by persistent HBV infection without significant necroinflammatory disease. Immune control is associated with undetectable to low levels of HBV DNA, persistently normal liver enzymes and low risk of progressive disease. Individuals in this category need to be distinguished from those in the immune tolerant phase of infection who have high levels of serum HBV DNA (see Figure 1). The inactive replication phase may persist indefinitely. The prognosis is generally favourable, especially if significant liver fibrosis has not occurred prior to an effective host immune response.

Reactivation of HBV may occur spontaneously or as a result of immunosuppression.
Figure 1: Natural History and Phases of CHB

Phase 4 - Immune Escape

In this phase a mutant virus that does not secrete HBeAg causes recurrence of active liver disease. This viral mutation emerges late in the course of infection in those initially infected with wild type (HBeAg-positive) virus.41,42 The prevalence of HBeAg-negative hepatitis varies geographically and is more common in Asia and the Mediterranean region. Infection with HBeAg-negative CHB is associated with a fluctuating course and progressive fibrosis.43

Active HBeAg-negative CHB is associated with either persistent ALT elevation or an erratic pattern of ALT changes with “flare-ups” resembling acute hepatitis B that can be severe. Serum HBV DNA levels are usually lower than in HBeAg-positive patients but generally higher than those seen in patients in the immune control phase.

Few patients with HBeAg-negative CHB achieve a lasting remission. HBeAg-negative CHB may escape clinical recognition and liver disease may have progressed to advanced stages of fibrosis, including cirrhosis and even HCC, when reactivations are finally identified.44,45 Progression to cirrhosis has been estimated to occur in 8-10% of people with HBeAg-negative CHB each year.

Disease Progression

Although the pathogenesis of CHB-associated liver injury is complex, chronic intrahepatic replication of HBV results in an ongoing cascade of inflammation, injury, and repair. Without resolution, this inflammatory cycle leads to scarring and fibrosis - ending in cirrhosis and loss of hepatic function - and to uncontrolled hepatocyte regeneration with the potential for HCC.
HBV DNA Levels
There is strong evidence to show the serum level of HBV DNA is the major clinical feature related to liver disease progression.46, 47

The Risk Evaluation of Viral Load Elevation and Associated Liver Disease/Cancer - HBV (REVEAL-HBV) study found that serum HBV DNA levels across a biological gradient are strongly predictive of the risk of disease progression and remain a strong predictor after accounting for other factors such as HBeAg, serum ALT and liver cirrhosis.48, 49

In this community based survey, Taiwanese patients were aged between 30-65 years at enrolment. The development of HCC was 10 times greater in patients with persistent HBV DNA >20,000 IU/mL than in those with levels <2,000 IU/mL at enrolment. However, even when HBV DNA levels decreased from 20,000 to 2,000 IU/mL, there was still a substantial risk for HCC development. Cirrhosis incidence rates showed a similar correlation with HBV DNA levels. The relative risk of developing cirrhosis was significantly elevated for those with HBV DNA levels as low as 2,000 IU/mL and was sixfold greater for those with HBV DNA ≥200,000 IU/mL at enrolment.

Similar outcomes were found in a cohort of 70 untreated Caucasian patients with HBeAg-positive CHB followed for 25 years.46 The risk of liver-related death was strongly associated with sustained disease activity and a high level of HBV replication (HBV DNA level), independently of HBeAg status. Older age, male sex, and cirrhosis at study entry were also independently associated with an increased risk of mortality.

Even persistent low level viraemia may be associated with liver damage independently from factors such as HBeAg status and baseline ALT levels.48, 50 Patients with ALT levels within the normal range are at risk for the development of cirrhosis and HCC if HBV DNA levels are higher than 2,000 IU/mL.

ALT Levels
Prolonged ALT level elevation also indicates liver injury and disease progression.51 A large prospective cohort study indicated even people with slightly increased ALT activity, but still within the normal range, should be closely observed and further investigated for liver diseases.54

Other studies show patients with low-normal or high-normal ALT levels (0.5-1 times the upper limit of normal [ULN]) are at risk of developing complications of liver disease.52, 53 Compared with those individuals with an ALT of less than 20 IU/L, those with ALT levels of 20–29 had a relative risk of mortality of 2.9, and those with an ALT level of 30–39 had a relative risk of mortality of 9.5.54

Thus, some studies are suggesting an ULN for ALT and AST of 30 IU/L for men and 19 IU/L for women. These findings are likely to increase the number of CHB patients that will require long term monitoring for disease progression and will also influence treatment recommendations.

Other Factors
Host and viral risk factors associated with increased rates of cirrhosis and / or HCC include:28, 55-57

- Older age (longer duration of infection)
- Habitual alcohol consumption
- Co-infection with hepatitis C virus (HCV), hepatitis D virus (HDV) or human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)
- Carcinogens such as aflatoxin and tobacco
- Male gender
- Family history of HCC
- History of reversion from anti-HBe to HBeAg
- Presence of cirrhosis
- HBV genotype C
- Core promoter mutation

Improving Outcomes
Ideally, CHB patients should have HBV DNA levels <2,000 IU/mL with ALT levels within the normal range.(II-1) Early detection and prolonged, adequate suppression of viral replication should be the practical goal for the management of CHB.48
**RECOMMENDATIONS FOR PATIENT EVALUATION**

**Diagnosis**

HBV infection is confirmed by the detection of hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) or HBV DNA in serum. In addition to patient exposure history, serology can assist in determining whether infections are newly acquired or chronic.

Diagnosis of newly acquired infections requires one of the following:
- HBsAg in a patient shown to be negative within the last 24 months
- HBsAg and high levels of specific IgM to hepatitis B core antigen (HBcIgM) in the absence of prior evidence of HBV infection
- HBV DNA and high levels of specific IgM to hepatitis B core antigen (HBcIgM) in the absence of prior evidence of HBV infection

Diagnosis of chronic infection requires:
- Detection of HBsAg or HBV DNA (PCR) in the serum of a patient on two occasions at least six months apart
- No clinical or laboratory evidence of acute hepatitis B

**Baseline Evaluation**

The initial evaluation of patients with CHB should include a thorough history and physical examination, with special emphasis on risk factors for co-infection, alcohol use, and family history of HBV infection and liver cancer.

Table 2 summarises the tests that should be performed at the initial evaluation of patients with CHB.

---

**Table 2: Initial Evaluation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laboratory testing and imaging studies:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>History and physical examination.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver function tests, full blood examination, INR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBeAg/anti-HBe, HBV DNA (quantitative viral load)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test for HBV genotype (if available)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV antibody, hepatitis D virus antibody and antigen, ≠ HIV antibody</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total antibody to hepatitis A virus; vaccinate if no immunity(II)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alfa-foetoprotein (FP) and abdominal ultrasound to screen for HCC*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider gastroscopy to look for oesophageal varices if clinical, laboratory or imaging evidence of cirrhosis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver biopsy is strongly recommended prior to initiating antiviral therapy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In selected patients from the Pacific Islands, Mediterranean, parts of South America and Africa. ≠Biopsy may be particularly helpful in patients older than 35-40 years of age with normal ALT levels

---

**CHB TREATMENT GOALS AND OBJECTIVES**

**Treatment Goal**

The primary goal is to improve patient survival by preventing or delaying the development of cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma.

**Treatment Objectives**

The key treatment objectives are: (II-2, III)^4, 58-62

- HBV DNA suppression (<2,000 IU/mL; PCR undetectable <60 IU/mL)
- HBsAg loss and seroconversion
- HBeAg loss and seroconversion
- Biochemical and histological improvement

As the eradication of HBV infection is not achievable with currently available therapy, the primary aim of treatment is to maintain HBV DNA suppression (<2,000 IU/mL) in order to prevent progressive liver injury.^4, 58-60 Prolonged viral suppression is associated with reduction in necroinflammation, fibrosis, and cirrhosis. Other favorable outcomes associated with suppression of HBV replication include reduced decompensation of underlying liver disease, reduced rates of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with CHB, and ultimately reduced mortality.

**HBsAg Clearance**

Loss of HBsAg and seroconversion to anti-HBs is considered a complete response and is durable in most cases. However, loss of HBsAg is not common after therapy, occurring in 3-8% of patients receiving IFN^63-67 and <5% of patients receiving nucleoside/nucleotide analogue therapy^68-74.
**HBeAg Clearance**

Loss of HBeAg and seroconversion to anti-HBe is associated with decreased viral replication and improved liver histology. Seroreversion to detectable HBeAg following treatment has been reported in 10–30% of patients following interferon therapy and up to 60% after nucleoside/nucleotide analogue therapy, particularly if treatment is stopped soon after HBeAg becomes undetectable. Furthermore, some patients may evolve into HBeAg-negative CHB.

**Patients to Treat**

Treatment is always an individual decision based on treating patients who are at risk for the development of cirrhosis and its consequences, liver failure and HCC.

The decision to treat requires consideration of several factors which have been identified as indicating risk of adverse outcomes including HBV DNA, ALT levels, patient age, extent of histological fibrosis or inflammation and clinical or imaging evidence of cirrhosis (Table 3). In addition to clinical parameters it is crucial to incorporate patients’ wishes, anticipated compliance and consideration of any contra-indications to treatment.

Most patients require a long duration of treatment to derive continued clinical benefit. As treatment may be required for years, decades, or the duration of the patient’s life, the decision to initiate treatment must balance the long-term benefits vs. the long-term risks.

---

**Table 3: Clinical Considerations for Treatment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HBeAg Status</th>
<th>HBA DNA (IU/mL)</th>
<th>ALT Levels</th>
<th>Liver Histology* (most common findings)</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Immune Tolerance</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>≥20,000</td>
<td>Persistently normal</td>
<td>Normal or mild inflammation No fibrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immune Clearance</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>≥20,000</td>
<td>Minimally elevated (1-2 x ULN)</td>
<td>Usually minimal inflammation, variable fibrosis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immune Clearance</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>≥20,000</td>
<td>Persistently or intermittently elevated (&gt;2 x ULN)</td>
<td>Moderate to severe chronic hepatitis with active inflammation and variable fibrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immune Control</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>≤2,000</td>
<td>Persistently normal</td>
<td>Mild inflammation No fibrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immune Escape</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>≥2,000</td>
<td>Minimally elevated (1-2 x ULN)</td>
<td>Usually minimal inflammation, variable fibrosis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immune Escape</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>≥2,000</td>
<td>Persistently or intermittently elevated (&gt;2 x ULN)</td>
<td>Moderate to severe chronic hepatitis with active inflammation and variable fibrosis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Treatment Options

Approved first and second line therapies for CHB in Australia and New Zealand are listed in Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug Class</th>
<th>Chemical Classification</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Current Indications Australia</th>
<th>Current Indications New Zealand</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nucleoside analogues</td>
<td>Pyrimidine base</td>
<td>Lamivudine (LAM)</td>
<td>Children (2 years and above), adolescent and adult patients with CHB and evidence of HBV replication.&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>In patients with evidence of HBV replication.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Telbivudine (LdT)</td>
<td>HBeAg-positive and HBeAg-negative CHB patients who have compensated liver disease, evidence of viral replication and active liver inflammation and who are nucleoside analogue naïve.</td>
<td>In patients with evidence of viral replication and active liver inflammation.&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purine base</td>
<td></td>
<td>Entecavir (ETV)</td>
<td>Adults 16 years or older with evidence of active liver inflammation.&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Adults with evidence of active liver inflammation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nucleotide analogues</td>
<td>Acyclic phosphonate</td>
<td>Adefovir (ADV)</td>
<td>Adults with evidence of active viral replication and either evidence of persistent elevations in ALT or AST or histologically active disease.&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Patients 16 years and older with evidence of hepatitis B viral replication.&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tenofovir (TDF)</td>
<td>Adults with evidence of active viral replication and active liver inflammation.</td>
<td>Adults.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytokines</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pegylated Interferon (pegIFN)</td>
<td>Adults with evidence of viral replication and liver inflammation and compensated liver disease.</td>
<td>HBeAg-positive and HBeAg-negative CHB in non-cirrhotic and cirrhotic patients with compensated liver disease and evidence of viral replication and liver inflammation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This indication is based on:

- **a.** serological and histological markers in clinical studies of up to 2 years duration in adult patients with compensated liver disease and serological data up to 18 months in children and adolescents. Children and adolescents also require evidence of active hepatic inflammation.
- **b.** Histologic, virologic, biochemical and serological responses after 48 weeks of treatment in nucleoside-treatment naïve and lamivudine-resistant adult patients with HBeAg-positive or HBeAg-negative CHB with compensated liver disease. Safety and efficacy have not currently been demonstrated for treatment periods longer than 48 weeks.
- **c.** Histological, virological, biochemical, and serological responses in adult patients with HBeAg+ and HBeAg- HBV DNA+ CHB with compensated liver function, and in adults patients with clinical evidence of lamivudine-resistant HBV with either compensated or decompensated liver function.
- **d.** Virological, serological, biochemical and histological responses after one year of treatment in adult patients with HBeAg-positive and HBeAg-negative chronic hepatitis B.
- **e.** Histological, virological, biochemical, and serological responses in adult patients with HBeAg+ and HBeAg- HBV DNA+ CHB with compensated liver function, and in adults patients with clinical evidence of lamivudine-resistant hepatitis B virus with either compensated or decompensated liver function.
**Nucleoside/Nucleotide Analogues**

Nucleoside/nucleotide analogues act as competitive inhibitors of HBV reverse transcriptase/DNA polymerase to prevent the incorporation of natural nucleosides leading to chain termination. Currently five agents have been approved for treatment of CHB: lamivudine, entecavir, telbivudine, adefovir and tenofovir. They are effective agents for all patients and have particular use in subgroups who may not tolerate pegIFN e.g. patients with compensated liver disease, during pregnancy, viral co-infections, immunosuppression and in solid organ (including liver) and stem cell transplantation. As with pegIFN, individuals with high ALT levels are more likely to achieve HBsAg seroconversion. Overall nucleoside / nucleotide analogues lead to loss of HBsAg in a similar proportion of treated patients as interferon although treatment duration to achieve this outcome varies.

Drug resistance is common with first generation agents, with rates of resistance occurring in up to 70% of lamivudine-treated patients after 5 years. The newest agents – tenofovir and entecavir – have favourable resistance profiles. Entecavir has a low resistance profile in naïve patients, but is high in patients with existing lamivudine resistance due to cross-resistance. Evidence suggests tenofovir has an excellent resistance profile in all patients regardless of previous therapy (see: 9 Managing Antiviral Resistance).

Nucleoside/nucleotide analogues are well tolerated and discontinuation due to adverse events is rare. The potential development of lactic acidosis associated with hepatomegaly and steatosis is rare but can occur with all of the currently available agents.

Hepatic function should be monitored closely in patients who discontinue treatment as some patients may develop a severe acute exacerbation of hepatitis.

All oral antiviral agents require dose reduction in the setting of renal insufficiency and dose adjustments according to creatinine clearance. Adefovir and tenofovir have been associated with dose-dependent renal toxicity and may result in serum creatinine elevation. However, after 96 weeks treatment, only 2% of patients treated with adefovir 10mg daily had an elevation in serum creatinine of >0.5 mg/dL by Kaplan-Meier analysis. In patients with normal baseline renal function, there is minimal concern regarding nephrotoxicity with the use of adefovir (10mg daily).

In a longterm multicentre evaluation of tenofovir, one patient showed mild creatinine elevation at 12 months. In all other patients creatinine levels remained in the normal range.

**Interferons**

The interferons act as immunomodulators and promote an antiviral state that inhibits viral replication. In Australia and NZ, pegylated interferon (pegIFN) has replaced standard interferon in CHB therapy.

The benefits of pegIFN are the lack of antiviral resistance, a defined duration of therapy (48 weeks), HBsAg seroconversion in 30% of patients and loss of HBsAg in 5-8%. The best candidates for pegIFN are those who meet the following criteria:

- An active host immune response to HBV characterised by:
  - Serum ALT levels >2 x ULN
  - HBV DNA levels <8 log IU/mL
- Those who wish to avoid long term treatment, such as young person’s planning a family

The adverse effects of pegIFN include myalgias, arthralgias, and flu-like symptoms, such as fever and chills. These symptoms typically occur within the first month of therapy and subside during the course of therapy. PegIFN may exacerbate underlying autoimmune conditions and may worsen neuropsychiatric disorders, particularly depression. Neutropenia and thrombocytopenia due to interferon induced bone marrow suppression are common and require regular monitoring. Less common adverse events include hypothyroidism, cardiac and retinal toxicity.

The immunomodulatory effect of interferon can cause severe exacerbations of hepatic inflammation (ALT flares) in patients treated with either standard or pegIFN, including hepatic decompensation in patients with cirrhosis. In clinical trials, neutropenia was the most common reason for dose reduction or early discontinuation, events that were both more common in patients with cirrhosis. Interferons are contraindicated in patients with decompensated cirrhosis.
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6 **MONITORING ON AND OFF-TREATMENT RESPONSE**

**Not Currently Receiving Treatment**

Patients in whom antiviral treatment is not currently indicated or who have declined therapy still require continued monitoring. **Table 6** outlines suggested monitoring for patients who are not treatment candidates. (II)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Immune Tolerance Phase</th>
<th>Immune Control Phase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>If ALT levels &lt;2 x ULN</strong></td>
<td>HBV DNA and liver function tests every 12 months.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBeAg and liver function tests every 12 months</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>If ALT levels increase to &gt;2 x ULN</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>increase monitoring frequency to 3-6 monthly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider liver biopsy and treatment if ALT levels are persistently &gt;2 x ULN and if HBeAg seroconversion does not occur within 6 months.</td>
<td>If ALT levels increase, check serum HBV DNA and exclude other possible causes of ALT elevation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For patients over age 40 years with ALT elevations 1-2 x ULN, consider biopsy and treatment.</td>
<td>Consider liver biopsy and treatment if HBV DNA &gt;2,000 IU/mL; ALT remains elevated and no other cause found and / or if liver biopsy shows significant fibrosis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider HCC screening in high risk patients</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5: Overall Advantages and Disadvantages of Treatments for CHB**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pegIFN</th>
<th>Nucleosides / Nucleotides</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Advantages</strong></td>
<td><strong>Disadvantages</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defined treatment duration</td>
<td>Subcutaneous administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No antiviral resistance</td>
<td>Significant side effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durability of HBeAg seroconversion</td>
<td>Contraindicated in Child’s B + C cirrhosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easy to administer and monitor</td>
<td>Few, if any, side effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe in patients with cirrhosis / decompensated liver disease</td>
<td>Generally prolonged duration of therapy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 6: Suggested Evaluation for Patients who are NOT Treatment Candidates**

Not all patients with CHB are at equal risk of developing HCC. Surveillance should be performed every six months using abdominal ultrasound and serum alpha foetoprotein in those at high risk for hepatoma, including those with cirrhosis, a family history of HCC, active inflammation on liver biopsy, Africans older than 20 years, Asians older than 35 years (if infected early in life).

Table 5 summarises the advantages and disadvantages of CHB treatment options.
Treatment with Nucleoside / Nucleotide Analogues

Primary Treatment Response

On-treatment monitoring strategies to define early virologic responses appear to be predictive of better outcomes and a reduced risk of viral resistance. Several studies have already shown that an initial virologic response is associated with lower rates of antiviral drug resistance in the long-term.

Primary treatment failure (defined as <1 log decrease in serum HBV DNA at treatment week 12) is uncommon. Non-responders (HBeAg-positive and -negative) should be identified to maximize long-term treatment efficacy. If the patient has been noncompliant, compliance should be emphasized and the patient followed closely. If compliant, the optimal strategy is to add a second agent to avoid resistance (Figure 2).

Measurement of the HBV DNA level at treatment week 24-48 characterizes virologic responses as complete, adequate/partial, or sub-optimal. In Figure 2 an ideal virologic response is defined as negative HBV DNA by a sensitive assay (<60 IU/mL); HBV DNA levels 60-2,000 IU/mL equate to an adequate virologic response, and HBV DNA levels >2,000 IU/mL indicate a sub-optimal virologic response. The antiviral response at week 24 of therapy was also found to be a predictor of resistance in patients treated with lamivudine and telbivudine. Week 48 may be used for predicting resistance to adefovir therapy.

Individual strategies are proposed for managing patients in each of these categories, depending in part on the rapidity with which HBV DNA suppression is achieved and the emergence of genotypic mutations that reduce the effectiveness of a specific drug. In a patient with a sub-optimal response disease progression and viral resistance are more common and thus therapy should be changed to a more potent drug or a second agent should be added, preferably one without cross-resistance.

Table 5: Overall Advantages and disadvantages of treatments for CHB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PeGiFN Nucleosides / Nucleotides</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Defined treatment duration</td>
<td>No antiviral resistance</td>
<td>Subcutaneous administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durability of HBeAg seroconversion</td>
<td>Easy to administer and monitor</td>
<td>Significant side effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe in patients with cirrhosis / decompensated liver</td>
<td>Few, if any, side effects</td>
<td>Contraindicated in child's B + c cirrhosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generally prolonged duration of therapy</td>
<td>Antiviral resistance is common with some agents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Suggested evaluation for patients who are NOT treatment candidates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Evaluation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Immune tolerance</td>
<td>Phase immune control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>if ALT levels &lt;2 x ULN</td>
<td>HBeAg and liver function tests every 12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>if ALT levels increase to &gt;2 x ULN</td>
<td>Increase monitoring frequency to 3-6 monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBV DNA and liver function tests every 12 months.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider liver biopsy and treatment if ALT levels are persistently &gt;2 x ULN and if HBeAg seroconversion does not occur within 6 months.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For patients over age 40 years with ALT elevations 1-2 x ULN, consider biopsy and treatment.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If ALT levels increase, check serum HBV DNA and exclude other possible causes of ALT elevation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider liver biopsy and treatment if HBV DNA &gt;2,000 IU/mL; ALT remains elevated and no other cause found and/or if liver biopsy shows significant fibrosis.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider HCC screening in high-risk patients</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: Monitoring Virological Response and Breakthrough

Initiate nucleotide or nucleoside

Confirm antiviral response at week 12

Monitor at week 24, week 36, and week 48:

- Complete response < 60 IU/mL: Continue treatment monitor HBV DNA every 3 months
- Adequate response 60 – 2,000 IU/mL: Continue treatment monitor HBV DNA every 3 months
- Sub-optimal response > 2,000 IU/mL: Consider add-on therapy monitor HBV DNA every 3 months

Frequency determined by the virological response and chance of resistance

Adapted from Keeffe 2007.
On-Treatment Responses
During treatment all patients should be closely monitored for virological and biochemical breakthrough (see: 9 Managing Antiviral Resistance). Virological breakthrough (defined as ≥1 log_{10} increase of HBV DNA above nadir documented in two consecutive samples one month apart) indicates virological resistance or poor compliance. Biochemical breakthrough (defined as ALT elevations >2 x ULN, during treatment after prior normalisation in a compliant patient) indicates clinical resistance in a patient with genotypic resistance. Other causes for ALT increase should be excluded.

Laboratory Testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Full blood examination (FBE): Haemoglobin, leukocytes, platelet count. Liver function tests (LFT): ALT, ALP, bilirubin, albumin. Virology: HBsAg, HBeAg, anti-HBe, HBV DNA Serum creatinine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Post- 1 month</td>
<td>LFT, HBV DNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post- 3 month (and then every third month)</td>
<td>FBE, LFT, HBV DNA, HBeAg/anti-HBe (for HBeAg-positive patients) Serum creatinine Analysis for possible resistance mutations if virological breakthrough</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of Treatment and 3, 6, 12 months after the end of treatment</td>
<td>FBE, LFT, HBsAg, HBeAg, anti-HBe, HBV DNA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Duration of Treatment
Nucleoside analogue treatment of HBeAg-positive patients should be continued for at least 6-12 months following HBeAg seroconversion.

There are no agreed treatment endpoints for HBeAg negative patients and continued treatment is recommended, in particular in patients with severe liver damage. Treatment can be discontinued in patients who achieve seroconversion for HBsAg to anti-HBs.

In patients who develop viral resistance, the treatment regimen should be modified (see: 9 Managing Antiviral Resistance and 12 Combination & Dual Therapy Strategies). In some cases discontinuation of treatment may be justified, but this is not an option for patients with severe or decompensated liver disease due to the risk of severe hepatic flares.

Baseline Full blood examination (FBE): Haemoglobin, leukocytes, platelet count. Liver function tests (LFT): ALT, ALP, bilirubin, albumin. Virology: HBsAg, HBeAg, anti-HBe, HBV DNA Serum creatinine

Post- 1 month LFT, HBV DNA

Post- 3 month (and then every third month) FBE, LFT, HBV DNA, HBeAg/anti-HBe (for HBeAg-positive patients) Serum creatinine Analysis for possible resistance mutations if virological breakthrough

End of Treatment and 3, 6, 12 months after the end of treatment FBE, LFT, HBsAg, HBeAg, anti-HBe, HBV DNA
**Treatment with PegIFN**

Patients treated with pegIFN should be monitored closely. Liver tests and a full blood examination should be performed at monthly intervals.

**Primary Treatment Response**

Currently there are few data to guide treatment based on virological response during therapy. Virological responses following week 4 may be associated with better end of treatment outcomes than early responses. Until such data are available, a defined treatment duration will be applicable to all patients, both HBeAg positive and HBeAg negative, with responses determined following the end of therapy.

**Laboratory Testing**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Period</th>
<th>Tests Performed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Baseline**                            | **Full blood examination (FBE)**: Haemoglobin, leukocytes including neutrophil count, platelets.  
  Liver function tests (LFT): ALT, ALP, bilirubin, albumin.  
  Virology: HBsAg, HBeAg, anti-HBe, HBV DNA |
| **Every Month**                         | FBE and LFT                                                                    |
| **Every 3 Months**                      | HBV DNA, HBeAg/anti-HBe (HBeAg-positive patients)  
  Thyroid stimulating hormone           |
| **End of Treatment and 1, 3 and 6 months after the end of treatment** | FBE and LFT                                                                    |
| **Every 3-6 months after end of treatment for 12 to 18 months** | HBsAg, HBeAg, anti-HBe, HBV DNA |

**End of Treatment Responses**

There is no widely accepted definition for an end of treatment response. Ideally, HBV DNA would be undetectable at the end of treatment. However, based on clinical trial definitions, a successful outcome for HBeAg positive patients is defined as anti-HBe-positive with a normal ALT level for more than six months, with HBV DNA <20,000 IU/mL. A similar definition (without HBeAg determination) applies for HBeAg negative patients.

**Duration of Treatment**

The current duration of pegIFN therapy is 48 weeks. Therapy is stopped prematurely only if intolerable adverse effects develop.

Post-therapy, HBsAg, HBeAg, HBV DNA and ALT levels should be monitored every 12 months annually until HBsAg seroconversion occurs or an alternative therapy regimen is commenced.
TREATMENT OF HBeAg-POSITIVE CHB

First Line Treatment Options

Treatment with a nucleoside/nucleotide analogue or pegIFN is appropriate. Patient age, severity of liver disease and tolerance for adverse events are factors that may influence the agent used. A potent drug with a high genetic barrier should be chosen. Tenofovir, entecavir and pegIFN are preferred as first-line therapy for treatment of naïve patients with HBeAg-positive disease. Adefovir is also appropriate. Lamivudine and telbivudine are not recommended due to higher resistance rates. Figure 3 shows the treatment algorithm for HBeAg-positive CHB.

Suppression of viral replication is indicated by HBeAg loss with seroconversion to anti-HBe as well as non-detectable HBV DNA by PCR. Viral suppression without HBeAg seroconversion is invariably associated with relapse, whereas HBeAg seroconversion is associated with durable responses in 50-90% of patients. Virologic response is often accompanied by biochemical and histologic improvement.

Treatment Considerations:

- **HBV DNA >20,000 IU/mL**

  **Immune Tolerance**
  - HBeAg (+) with high HBV DNA & normal ALT
  - Consider liver biopsy if age >40 yrs (II-3)
  - Only treat if moderate/severe inflammation or fibrosis on biopsy (I)
  - Monitor with HBV DNA and serum ALT every 6 months (III)

  **Immune Clearance**
  - HBeAg (+) with high HBV DNA & elevated ALT (>2 x ULN)
  - Observe for 3-6 months for spontaneous seroconversion (II-2)
  - Liver biopsy prior to treatment (II-3)
  - TDF, ETV and pegIFN are appropriate (I)
  - See Figure 2 for monitoring virological response (I)
  - Long term nucleoside/nucleotide (NA) treatment may be required (I)
  - Continue NA therapy after HBeAg seroconversion for at least 6-12 months (I)
  - Monitor for viral relapse post-therapy

†Please refer to PBS / Pharmac Schedule for reimbursed indication

ADV adefovir  
ETV entecavir  
FTC emtricitabine  
HBIG hepatitis B  
Immunoglobulin  
IFN standard interferon  
LAM lamivudine  

TDF tenofovir  
NA Nucleosides / nucleotides  
pegIFN pegylated interferon  

Categorisation by Serum ALT Levels

HBeAg positive patients with persistently normal serum ALT and HBV DNA levels >20,000 IU/mL should be monitored with 6 monthly serum ALT and HBV DNA levels. An exception is HBeAg-positive patients who are >40 years old with normal or mildly elevated serum ALT levels (1-2 x ULN); these patients should be strongly considered for liver biopsy and antiviral treatment if the liver biopsy shows moderate/severe inflammation or significant fibrosis.

HBeAg positive patients with persistent or intermittent serum ALT elevation (>2 x ULN) should be observed for 3-6 months for spontaneous HBeAg seroconversion. Therapy should be considered in patients who do not undergo spontaneous HBeAg seroconversion if serum ALT remains elevated. Liver biopsy is strongly recommended before initiating treatment to determine the degree of liver inflammation and fibrosis.

Patients with jaundice or evidence of decompensation should be treated without delay.
Table 7: Summary of Efficacy Data with Treatments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Duration of Treatment</th>
<th>HBeAg Seroconversion Rate (%)</th>
<th>Patients with HBV DNA undetectability (at one year) (%)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PegIFN</td>
<td>48 weeks</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamivudine</td>
<td>One year</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>40-44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Three year</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adefovir</td>
<td>One year</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Three year</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entecavir</td>
<td>One year</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Three year</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenofovir</td>
<td>One year</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Three year</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*By PCR based assay (LLD ~ 50 IU/mL) except for some lamivudine studies

Figure 4 and Table 7 summarise data on HBeAg seroconversion rates and HBV DNA suppression by various agents. These outcomes are not directly comparable as they are not from head to head studies and represent different patient populations and study designs.
Antiviral Agents in HBeAg-positive CHB

pegIFN
HBsAg loss is the hallmark of a complete response to CHB treatment. A large clinical trial of pegIFN-2a in HBeAg positive patients reported a 3% HBsAg seroconversion rate among patients receiving pegIFN either as monotherapy or in combination with lamivudine.65, 66 Other trials have reported HBsAg seroconversion in 6% of patients treated with pegIFN-2b for one year.100 In a long-term follow-up study HBsAg loss was observed in 11%.101 Of those initial responders, sustained HBsAg loss was observed in 30%. The rate of HBsAg clearance was closely linked to viral genotype (14%, 9%, 3%, and 2% for genotypes A, B, C and D, respectively).100,101 pegIFN leads to the high one-year HBeAg seroconversion rates (Table 7).23, 98, 99 but lower rates of HBV DNA undetectability compared to nucleoside analogues.23, 98, 99

Patients in the immune clearance phase with elevated pretreatment ALT levels (>2 x ULN) and HBV DNA levels <8 log IU/ml are more likely to respond to interferon than patients in the immune tolerance phase with minimal ALT elevation and high viral loads.

Lamivudine
The rate of HBsAg seroconversion in most lamivudine treatment studies is low and similar to rates of spontaneous seroconversion.102 Although rare, lamivudine therapy has been shown to result in HBsAg seroclearance in young patients after 24 months use.102 HBeAg seroconversion rates can be expected in approximately 18% at one year compared with 4 to 13% of untreated controls.69, 103, 104 Higher cumulative HBeAg seroconversion rates are observed with increased duration of lamivudine treatment, 29% at two years, 40% at three years, increasing to 50–70% after five years (Table 7).104-108 Continuation of lamivudine treatment for 6 to 12 months after HBeAg seroconversion appears to decrease relapse rates. Treatment with the recommended dose, 100 mg once daily, results in a 4-5 log reduction of serum HBV DNA after 52 weeks.109 HBV DNA undetectability is achieved in 40-44% of patients at one year. 23, 98, 99
The prevalence of lamivudine resistance approaches 70% at four/five years (see: 9 Managing Antiviral Resistance).82, 109 The emergence of resistance blunts histologic responses and patients may experience worsening liver disease such as hepatic decompensation, and liver-disease-related serious adverse events.109, 110 Therefore, lamivudine is no longer a suitable first-line choice for the treatment of HBeAg-positive CHB, although there are patient subgroups for whom lamivudine should be considered (see: 11 Special Populations).

Lamivudine therapy results in histologic improvement. In three clinical trials involving a total of 713 naïve patients histologic improvement was observed in 49-56% treated patients and in 23-25% of controls.68, 69, 111

Adefovir
HBsAg loss or seroconversion is observed in <5% of patients given adefovir for one to two years.112 HBeAg seroconversion rates following 12 months treatment have been reported in 18% given adefovir compared to 6% in placebo treated patients (Table 7).23, 70, 98, 99 Patients treated beyond 48 weeks achieved a higher rate of seroconversion and HBeAg loss. At 240 weeks, 58% of adefovir patients had lost HBeAg and 48% had HBeAg seroconversion.113 In HBeAg-positive lamivudine resistant patients, rates of HBeAg loss and seroconversion were higher among patients receiving adefovir, either alone or in combination with lamivudine, compared with patients receiving lamivudine monotherapy or placebo.70, 114-116

Treatment with the recommended dose, 10mg once daily, results in a 3.5-4.05 log reduction of HBV DNA after 48 weeks.70, 113, 117 Prolonged treatment of HBeAg-positive patients results in loss of detectable HBV DNA in 21% after one year.23, 70, 98, 99 The proportion of patients with HBV DNA <200 IU/mL increased to 36%, 38% and 39% at study weeks 144, 192, and 240.113 The emergence of resistance mutants occurs in <2% of treated patients after one year of adefovir, gradually increasing to 11% after three years, and between 20-29% after five years.36, 113, 118
Following 48 weeks treatment with adefovir, histological improvement was observed in 53% who received adefovir compared with 25% of those on placebo.70

**Entecavir**

HBsAg loss or seroconversion was observed in 2% of patients taking entecavir versus 1% receiving lamivudine for a minimum of 52 weeks.74

HBeAg seroconversion was reported as 21% after one year and 39% after three years of treatment (Table 7).23, 74, 98, 99 HBeAg seroconversion persists in approximately 80% of patients 24 weeks after withdrawing treatment. However, persistent off-therapy suppression of virus to undetectable levels occurs in only approximately 75% of patients.

At the recommended dose of 0.5 mg once daily for nucleoside naïve patients entecavir is more potent than lamivudine, with entecavir treatment resulting in a 6 log IU/ml reduction of HBV DNA after 48 weeks.74 Through 96 weeks, entecavir demonstrated superior benefit to lamivudine with 74% of entecavir-treated versus 37% of lamivudine-treated patients achieving undetectable HBV DNA.119

The antiviral effect of entecavir is reduced in patients with lamivudine-resistant strains, with only 40% achieving undetectable HBV DNA levels at 96 weeks.120 The recommended dose increases to 1 mg once daily for lamivudine-refractory patients.

Emergence of resistant mutants is low (1%) in treatment-naïve patients,121 but increases to 6% after 1 year and 51% after 5 years if lamivudine resistance is present at the start of treatment.122

Treatment through 96 weeks resulted in normalised ALT levels in 79% of entecavir-treated versus 68% of lamivudine-treated patients.119 When compared to adefovir, there was no significant difference in ALT normalisation after 52 weeks of treatment.116

**Telbivudine**

HBsAg seroconversion occurred in less than 1% of patients in a trial comparing telbivudine to lamivudine for 52 weeks.95

The rate of HBeAg seroconversion at 52 and 104 weeks is comparable to lamivudine and entecavir.95, 123

Telbivudine at the recommended dose of 600 mg once daily, reduces HBV DNA by 5–6 log IU/ml after one year of treatment. Undetectable levels of HBV DNA were achieved in 60% after one year of treatment and 54% after two years of treatment (Table 7).95, 123

Resistant strains have the same mutation pattern as for lamivudine (cross-resistance). Inadequate suppression of the virus is associated with significant rates of development of resistance (4% at year one increasing to 21% at year two).95, 123

**Tenofovir**

A progressive increase in HBsAg loss or seroconversion was observed in 2.7-5% of patients taking tenofovir for between 11 and 17 months.99 In a small study with lamivudine resistant patients, HBsAg loss occurred in 14% after a mean duration of 44 weeks.124

HBeAg seroconversion occurred in 21% at week 48 and 26% at week 64 (Table 7).85, 125 HBeAg loss occurred in 35% of lamivudine-resistant patients treated with tenofovir and followed for 72 to 130 weeks.124

Treatment with tenofovir results in a 4–6 log IU/ml decline in HBV DNA within 48 weeks when given at a dose ranging from 300mg once daily.99, 124 A randomised trial demonstrated a greater proportion of patients with undetectable HBV DNA after 48 weeks of treatment with tenofovir compared to adefovir (69% vs. 9%, respectively).99 In an open label extension, the percentage of tenofovir treated patients with undetectable HBV DNA increased to 89% by week 76.99 No subject developed mutations associated with tenofovir resistance by week 48 and no patient had a loss of viral response between week 48 and week 72.99, 124

Tenofovir has also been successfully used for the treatment of patients who failed consecutive therapy with lamivudine and lamivudine/ adefovir combination.126
**TREATMENT HBeAg-NEGATIVE CHB**

**First Line Treatment Options**

Treatment with a nucleoside/nucleotide analogue or pegylated interferon is appropriate. Patient age, severity of liver disease and tolerance for adverse events are factors that may influence the agent used. A potent drug with a high genetic barrier should be chosen. Tenofovir and entecavir preferred first-line nucleoside / nucleotides for patients with HBeAg-negative disease. Adefovir is also appropriate. Lamivudine and telbivudine are not recommended due to the risk of resistance. The optimal duration of treatment is not defined and, in general, treatment should not be terminated unless there is seroconversion from HBsAg to anti-HBs.

Treatment with 48 weeks of pegIFN is also a preferred first-line option. Longer treatment may be considered. The efficacy of therapy is evaluated on the basis of suppression of HBV replication and ALT normalisation. Figure 5 shows the treatment algorithm for HBeAg-negative CHB.

**Figure 5: Algorithm for HBeAg-negative Disease**

**Treatment Considerations:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HBV DNA &lt;2,000 IU/mL</th>
<th>HBV DNA ≥2,000 IU/mL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Immune Control</strong></td>
<td><strong>Immune Escape</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBeAg (-) with normal ALT</td>
<td>HBeAg (-) with elevated ALT (1-2 x ULN)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observe</td>
<td>Elevate alternative causes of ALT elevation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider therapy only in patients with significant inflammation or fibrosis on biopsy even if low level replication or the ALT is normal (11-1)</td>
<td>Consider biopsy if clinical suspicion of significant liver disease (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitor with HBV DNA and ALT every 12 months</td>
<td>Treat if moderate/severe inflammation or fibrosis on biopsy (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TDF, ETV or pegIFN preferred (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>See Figure 2 for monitoring virological response</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Please refer to PBS / Pharmac Schedule for reimbursed indication

**Categorisation by Serum ALT Levels**

- **Immune Control**
  - HBeAg (-) with normal ALT
  - Observe
  - Consider therapy only in patients with significant inflammation or fibrosis on biopsy even if low level replication or the ALT is normal (11-1)
  - Monitor with HBV DNA and ALT every 12 months

- **Immune Escape**
  - HBeAg (-) with elevated ALT (1-2 x ULN)
  - Elevate alternative causes of ALT elevation
  - Consider biopsy if clinical suspicion of significant liver disease (1)
  - Treat if moderate/severe inflammation or fibrosis on biopsy (1)
  - TDF, ETV or pegIFN preferred (1)
  - See Figure 2 for monitoring virological response
  - Continue treatment until HBsAg clearance is achieved

- **Immune Escape**
  - HBeAg (-) with elevated ALT (>2 x ULN)
  - Elevate alternative causes of ALT elevation
  - Consider liver biopsy (1)
  - TDF, ETV or pegIFN preferred (1)
  - See Figure 2 for monitoring virological response
  - Long-term nucleoside/nucleotide treatment required (1)
  - Continue treatment until HBsAg clearance is achieved

**Please refer to PBS / Pharmac Schedule for reimbursed indication**

| ADV adefovir | HBIG hepatitis B | LAM lamivudine | pegIFN pegylated interferon |
| ETV entecavir | Immunoglobulin | LidT telbivudine | TDF tenofovir |
| FTC emtricitabine | IFN standard interferon | NA nucleosides/ nucleotides |
Antiviral Agents in HBeAg-negative CHB

**pegIFN**
A large clinical trial of pegIFN-2a in HBeAg-negative patients reported a 2% HBsAg seroconversion rate among patients receiving pegIFN either as monotherapy or in combination with lamivudine. Post-treatment virologic response rates were significantly higher in patients receiving pegIFN and dual therapy compared to lamivudine monotherapy. In a similar study of pegIFN-2b, with or without lamivudine, virological response rates were similar in the pegIFN-2b monotherapy and dual therapy groups.

Histologic improvement is more pronounced in patients with virological response.

Pre-treatment predictors of response include baseline ALT and HBV DNA, age and gender.

**Lamivudine**
The optimal duration of lamivudine therapy in HBeAg-negative patients is unknown. Only 30-40% of lamivudine-treated HBeAg-negative patients maintain virological remission with continuous treatment due to the selection of lamivudine-resistant mutants. One study suggested lamivudine could be stopped after two years in patients with persistently undetectable HBV DNA levels, with lower rates of relapse than reported in prior studies. The rates of durable responses in another study increased significantly if undetectable HBV DNA occurred within 24 weeks of therapy.

Approximately two-thirds of patients have a biochemical response after 6-12 months of lamivudine therapy, with necroinflammation improving in a similar proportion. In one study biochemical remission decreased from 84% at 12 months to 36% at 48 months, inversely proportional to the increase in lamivudine resistance.

**Adefovir**
Over a 240-week period of continuous adefovir monotherapy, 5% of patients experienced HBsAg loss and seroconverted to anti-HBs. Undetectable HBV DNA levels were reached in 71% after two years, and complete viral suppression was achieved in 50-60% of patients after five years. A decrease in HBV DNA levels to <200 IU/mL by treatment week 48 was highly predictive of maintenance of the response at year three with a low probability of HBV resistance. After 240 weeks, the cumulative probability of adefovir related polymerase mutations was 29%; virologic resistance was 20% and clinical resistance was 11%.

In a retrospective study of lamivudine resistant patients, undetectable HBV DNA was achieved by 76% in patients switched to adefovir monotherapy vs. 83% of patients treated with a combination of adefovir/ lamivudine. Rates of resistance were 18% with adefovir monotherapy and 3% with combination therapy.

Significant improvements in liver histology were observed following long-term treatment with adefovir.

After 192 or 240 weeks of treatment, over 83% of patients had improvement in necroinflammation, and over 73% had improvement in fibrosis. Ishak fibrosis scores improved compared with baseline in 35%, 55%, and 71% of patients after 48,192, and 240 weeks of adefovir, respectively.

**Entecavir**
In treatment-naïve patients, entecavir was found to be significantly more effective than lamivudine in suppression of HBV replication over a 48-week period. Undetectable serum HBV DNA was reported in 90% and 78% of patients treated with entecavir and lamivudine, respectively. Continued therapy through 96 weeks showed a significant difference in cumulative virologic response among entecavir (94%) and lamivudine (77%) treated patients. Entecavir has a favourable resistance profile.

**Telbivudine**
Undetectable levels of HBV DNA were reported in 79% of HBeAg-negative patients after a two year treatment period. Resistant strains, which were cross resistant to lamivudine, emerged after one year in 2% of patients and after two years in 9% of patients.

**Tenofovir**
Preliminary findings from a randomised trial demonstrated a greater proportion of patients (both lamivudine experienced and naïve) achieved undetectable HBV DNA with tenofovir compared to adefovir (91% vs. 51%, respectively) after 48 weeks treatment. This increased to 98% in the tenofovir group at 72 weeks and in patients switched from adefovir to tenofovir at 48 to 72 weeks. Both adefovir and tenofovir showed a similar profile with approximately 77% of patients achieving normalisation of ALT by 48 weeks. No resistance was identified through 72 weeks of therapy.
Clinical Consequences of Resistance

Antiviral resistance is now the single most important factor in the failure of nucleoside/nucleotide analogue treatment regimens. Antiviral resistance limits the efficacy of therapy. Patients with antiviral resistance mutations experience greater rates of disease progression than treated patients without such mutations (Figure 6). The development of clinical resistance has been linked with hepatitis flares and an increased risk of hepatic decompensation and death from liver failure. Some patients may show rapid deterioration with acute exacerbation of the disease, especially in patients with cirrhosis and with pre-core mutant infection. In other cases, deteriorating liver disease starts progressively after the development of viral drug resistance.

Dynamics and Definitions of Resistance

Indications of emergence of antiviral drug resistance include (Figure 7):

Genotypic Resistance
Mutation(s) in the HBV genome develop specifically during antiviral therapy and confer resistance to the antiviral agent. Two types of mutations are observed:

a. Primary resistance associated mutations (lamivudine: rtM204V/I and rtN236T)
b. Secondary / compensatory mutations (lamivudine: rtL80V/I and rtL180M)

Virological Breakthrough
Rebound in serum HBV DNA (≥1 log10 IU/mL from the nadir), in two consecutive serum samples taken one month apart in patients who have responded to therapy, following the development of genotypic resistance.

Clinical Breakthrough
Virological breakthrough may be followed by an increase in ALT levels and subsequent progression of liver disease. Ideally, therapeutic intervention should occur before clinical breakthrough to maximise treatment outcomes.

Figure 6: Resistance is Associated with Greater Progression of Liver Disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time After Randomisation (Months)</th>
<th>Patients With Disease Progression (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Placebo (n = 215)
- Lamivudine YMDDm (n = 209)
- Lamivudine No YMDD (n = 221)
Pathways and Patterns of Resistance Mutations

The chemical classification of these agents impacts the patterns and pathways of drug resistance. Distinct chemical groups can be recognised:

1. Nucleoside Group
   a. pyrimidine base - lamivudine, telbivudine
   b. purine base - entecavir

2. Nucleotide Group
   a. acyclic phosphonate - adefovir, tenofovir

Primary drug resistance mutations refer to amino acid change(s) that result in reduced susceptibility to an antiviral agent. Secondary compensatory mutations restore replication defects associated with primary drug resistance and may be associated with low level reduced susceptibility.146

The primary resistance mutations associated with drug failure are shown in Figure 8. Eight codons in the HBV polymerase are associated with primary drug resistance. They fall into five major pathways based on the chemical classification of each nucleoside / nucleotide:146

- The rtM204V/I pathway is responsible for resistance to the L-nucleosides, such as lamivudine, telbivudine, and entecavir in lamivudine resistant patients
- The rtN236T pathway is responsible for adefovir and tenofovir resistance
- A shared pathway (rtA181T/V), is associated with resistance to lamivudine and adefovir and is a potential multi-drug resistance pathway.
- In naïve patients treated with entecavir, at least three mutations arising at the same time are required: rtL180M + rtM204V plus either one of rtT184, rtS202 or rtM250 codon changes.
- Multidrug resistance pathways. In highly drug-experienced patients, clusters of mutations such as rtA181T/233V/N236T/ M250L, all on the one dominant HBV genome, are being detected in association with multi-drug resistance.
Cross Resistance

Cross-resistance occurs when there is a decreased susceptibility to more than one antiviral drug conferred by the same amino acid substitution or combination of amino acid substitutions. Results of in vitro cross-resistance data are summarised in Figure 9.150

In general, drugs within the same structural family are more likely to exhibit cross-resistance. For instance, lamivudine-resistant mutants (rtM204V or rtM204I mutants) are resistant to other nucleoside pyrimidine analogues such as telbivudine while they remain susceptible to nucleotide analogues such as adefovir and tenofovir.151-154

Current data suggest that the development of entecavir resistance follows a “two hits” model with the first selection of primary resistance mutations at position rt204, followed by the addition of secondary resistance mutations (at position rt184, rt202, or rt250) conferring higher resistance to entecavir.155, 156 This may be why the development of entecavir resistance is more rapid in patients with lamivudine failure who already have selected the primary resistance mutations, by comparison with the nucleoside naïve patients in whom the whole process of selection of primary and secondary mutations needs to take place.

The adefovir-resistant mutant (rtN236T) is susceptible to the nucleosides - lamivudine, telbivudine and entecavir, while the rtA181V mutant has a reduced susceptibility to lamivudine.118, 126, 157

Uniquely, tenofovir can be used in both naïve patients and those with first-line treatment failure as it is active against lamivudine, entecavir, telbivudine and even adefovir resistant mutants.158

ADV, adefovir; ETV, entecavir; LAM, lamivudine; LdT, telbivudine; TDF, tenofovir mapped onto the HBV polymerase gene

Figure 8: The Important Eight Codons Associated with Primary Resistance149

![Diagram of the HBV polymerase gene with key pathways highlighted.]
Multi-drug Resistance
Sequential treatment with antiviral monotherapy has resulted in the selection of mutations conferring resistance to both the initial therapy and the rescue therapy leading to multi-drug resistance.\textsuperscript{159, 160} For example, sequential resistance to lamivudine and later adefovir or entecavir has been reported in patients who were switched to another agent after lamivudine-resistance developed.\textsuperscript{156, 160-162} Additional data indicate combination therapy may not be effective in suppressing multi-drug resistance once it emerges.\textsuperscript{118, 126}

The use of an add-on strategy with drugs from the same structural family and similar cross-resistance profiles (i.e. nucleoside + nucleoside) may lead to the selection of multi-drug resistant strains if the add-on strategy does not induce complete viral suppression, especially if there is a large replication space available for the mutants to spread.\textsuperscript{126}

Rates of Resistance
Comparative rates for the development of resistance to various agents are given in Table 8. The rate at which resistant mutants are selected is related to pretreatment serum HBV DNA level, the number of mutations required to produce resistance (genetic barrier), the potency and rapidity of viral suppression, duration of treatment, and prior exposure to antiviral therapies.\textsuperscript{163} The incidence of genotypic resistance also varies with the sensitivity of the methods used for detection of resistant mutations and the patient population being tested.

Nucleosides
Lamivudine resistance rates are high and can be detected in 14-32\% after one year of treatment \textsuperscript{68, 111, 131, 164} increasing with the duration of treatment to around 60-70\% at 5 years of treatment.\textsuperscript{108, 109} Although telbivudine is associated with lower resistance rates, resistance increases significantly after the first year of treatment.\textsuperscript{90} In contrast, entecavir has a higher genetic barrier and virologic breakthrough is rare in nucleoside-naïve patients, but high in lamivudine resistant patients (up to 51\% at year 4).\textsuperscript{120, 122, 165}

Nucleotides
In naïve patients, adefovir resistance occurs at a slower rate compared with lamivudine. In lamivudine-refractory patients the resistance rate is significantly higher.\textsuperscript{72, 166-168} Accumulating evidence suggests tenofovir has a very high genetic barrier to resistance. Studies show a low rate of resistance in naïve as well as nucleoside / nucleotide experienced patients. In phase III trials, no patients developed mutations associated with resistance at 72 weeks.\textsuperscript{98, 125}
Resistance Prevention Strategies

A reasonable clinical goal is to develop an overall strategy that prevents the selection of resistance. Prevention of resistance requires the adoption of strategies that effectively control virus replication (Table 9).

Monitoring Virologic Response and Breakthrough

It is important to detect resistance early before the viral load rebounds fully and before ALT levels rise. Treatment is more likely to be effective if a new agent is introduced when the viral load is low than when it is high. To ensure viral load is detected early, HBV DNA concentration should be measured at 12 weeks and then every three to six months (see: Monitoring On and Off-Treatment Response). (II-2) Virological testing should be performed to confirm that viral breakthrough is due to resistance rather than poor adherence. (II-2) Treatment should be modified as soon as resistance is identified.

Table 8: Cumulative Rates of Antiviral-Resistance Reported in Clinical Trials (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Rates of genotypic resistance (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yr1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nucleosides</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamivudine</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telbivudine</td>
<td>3-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entecavir (treatment naïve patients)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entecavir (lamivudine resistant patients)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nucleotides</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adefovir (treatment naïve patients)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adefovir (lamivudine resistant patients)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adefovir + lamivudine combination (lamivudine resistant patients)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenofovir (Naive and lamivudine resistant patients)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9: Resistance Prevention Strategies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resistance Prevention Strategies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximise antiviral activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximise genetic barriers to resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase pharmacologic barriers to resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Combination Therapy

Combination therapy, defined as treatment with two or more nucleoside / nucleotide agents, theoretically conveys two advantages:137, 171 The most potent agent may provide an ‘additive’ effect and produce a greater suppression of viral replication than the weaker single agent. The risk of treatment-emergent resistance may be reduced by targeting multiple sites in the replication pathway, thus requiring multiple mutations to occur to produce antiviral resistance.

Target Patients

Combination therapy is for patients who have the highest risk of resistance (for example, long standing infection and high viraemia levels associated with more complex viral populations prior to therapy, together with high ALT levels).82 An equally important group for preferred use of combination therapy exists in those patients who can least afford to develop antiviral drug resistance from a clinical perspective (for example, patients with liver cirrhosis and/or with HBV recurrence after liver transplantation).

Figure 10: Early Add-On Treatment Approach

Because of the risk of development of multi-drug resistance, the choice of first-line treatment should be made with caution. It is also likely that development of multi-drug resistance could be reduced by complementary combination therapy optimised to individual viral phenotypes, although this strategy requires confirmation of efficacy, safety and cost-effectiveness in clinical trials.146 The choice of drug for adding should be based on the absence of cross resistance.

Early Add-On

Cross-resistance data and the results of long-term studies advocate for an add-on therapy at an early stage, i.e., viral breakthrough, to control rapidly viral replication and prevent clinical deterioration. The early add-on strategy begins with a potent drug with a high genetic barrier. A second drug is added if there is a sub-optimal response to treatment after 24-48 weeks (see: 6 Monitoring On and Off-Treatment Response).150 Initiating rescue therapy early is more effective, and maximises treatment outcomes, compared to delaying rescue therapy until viral rebound or biochemical breakthrough occurs.137
Avoid Cross Resistance
When evaluating combination treatments consequences of cross-resistance need to be carefully considered. Combining two antiviral agents which are not cross-resistant (for example, a nucleotide and a nucleoside) may delay or prevent the occurrence of viral resistance without compromising tolerance (Figure 9). The nucleotides (adefovir and tenofovir) show consistent activity against the wild-type and all patterns of lamivudine resistant strains. HBV isolates with entecavir-associated mutations are also sensitive to adefovir and tenofovir according to in vitro and in vivo data.

Combinations for Lamivudine Resistance / Non-Response
Recent studies show adefovir added after viral breakthrough, but before clinical breakthrough is the preferred treatment for lamivudine resistant patients. Under these circumstances, control of viral replication is effective and the rates of adefovir resistance are low. Switching to adefovir alone is associated with higher rates of adefovir resistance and is not recommended (Table 8).

Tenofovir is effective in suppressing lamivudine-resistant hepatitis B, but whether tenofovir should be added or simply substituted for lamivudine has not been addressed.

Combinations for Adefovir Resistance / Non-Response
genotypic resistance to adefovir monotherapy occurs in approximately 29% of patients after five years. Clinical breakthrough occurs in approximately 11%. Lamivudine, telbivudine or entecavir may all potentially be used in combination with adefovir, although there are no large scale trials confirming efficacy of these agents in adefovir resistant patients.

Combinations for Entecavir Resistance / Non-Response
in vitro data suggest that adefovir and tenofovir could be used in combination with entecavir however these findings await confirmation in clinical trials.

### Table 10: Summary of Resistance Management Strategies to Specific Antivirals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resistance</th>
<th>Strategy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lamivudine Resistance</td>
<td>Add adefovir or switch or add tenofovir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adefovir Resistance*</td>
<td>Add lamivudine, telbivudine or entecavir or switch to tenofovir or tenofovir plus nucleoside agent or switch to entecavir (if no prior lamivudine resistance)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entecavir Resistance*</td>
<td>Add adefovir or tenofovir or switch to tenofovir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multidrug Resistance#</td>
<td>The optimal rescue therapy is not known but one option is to add tenofovir (if the patient is not on adefovir) in combination with a nucleoside analogue. The most effective treatment of multidrug-resistant CHB is prevention through judicious use of antiviral therapy and avoidance of sequential antiviral monotherapies.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Limited in vivo data, available data indicate that addition of rescue therapy is less likely to result in sequential drug resistance than switching to rescue therapy.

# In vivo data lacking.
Combinations for Telbivudine Resistance / Non-Response
Little is known about treatment of telbivudine resistance, which occurs in around 21% of patients at the end of two years of therapy.90 Cross-resistance with lamivudine and emtricitabine can be expected. There is some support for the investigation of telbivudine as a component in a multi-drug strategy, especially with nucleotide analogues. It is not recommended in combination with entecavir due to the cross-resistant profiles.

Combinations for Tenofovir Resistance / Non-Response
Clinical resistance to tenofovir has not been described in CHB patients. The true resistance rate is not known.

Dual Therapy (Nucleoside/nucleotide analogue + pegIFN)
There are theoretical advantages for dual therapy with an immunomodulator (IFN/pegIFN) added to a nucleoside/nucleotide analogue. Synergistic activity is potentially created by targeting dual pathways. pegIFN may enhance immune clearance of infected hepatocytes while the antiviral stops viral replication. To date, clinical outcomes of dual therapy trials have only been conducted over a 48 week period and outcomes have not shown an advantage of combination therapy over pegylated interferon alone.

Dual Therapy with pegIFN and Adefovir
A small pilot study of 26 patients, dual therapy with adefovir and pegIFN-2b over 48 weeks lead to a higher rate of HBeAg seroconversion (53%) when compared to historical cohorts, and a higher rate of HBsAg seroconversion (15%).181 Serum HBV DNA was undetectable in 54% of patients. Marked decreases in intrahepatic cccDNA occurred. Whether this combination is more effective than pegIFN alone awaits further study.

Dual Therapy with pegIFN and Lamivudine
The combination of pegIFN and lamivudine has been assessed in three large, multicentre trials with both HBeAg-positive and HBeAg-negative patients.65-67 The degree of viral load suppression at the end of treatment was higher in those on a lamivudine-containing regimen than those on pegIFN-2a alone (7.2 log vs. 4.5 log respectively in the HBeAg positive study and 5.0 log vs. 4.1 log respectively in the HBeAg negative study), but the rate of sustained disease remission 24 weeks after treatment was higher in the pegIFN-2a monotherapy arm.65, 66 This finding suggests that the mechanism of viral load reduction, in addition to the degree of viral suppression, is an important factor affecting sustained disease remission.66
TREATMENT OF PATIENTS WITH CIRRHOSIS

In HBeAg-positive CHB, the incidence of cirrhosis is estimated to be 1.6 to 3.8 per 100 person-years, and 2.8 to 9.7 per 100 person-years in HBeAg-negative CHB. Approximately 15% of patients with compensated cirrhosis will decompensate over 5 years. Patients with compensated CHB-cirrhosis have a liver related mortality rate between 2.9 and 3.3 per 100 person years. Mortality rates rise significantly once hepatic decompensation occurs.

Goals of Therapy
In patients with HBV-related cirrhosis, the principal goal of treatment is to prevent the development of hepatic decompensation and hepatocellular carcinoma. Therefore treatment aims are:

1. Control of viral replication with undetectable HBV DNA
2. Control of hepatic inflammation with normal serum ALT levels

Risk Factors
Risk factors associated with an increased risk of progression to cirrhosis or hepatic decompensation, include active viral replication, older age, male gender, advanced fibrosis on biopsy, concomitant alcohol use and co-infection with other hepatitis viruses (C or D) or HIV.

Patients to Treat

Patients with Compensated Cirrhosis
Independent of HBeAg status, treatment should be considered for patients with elevated ALT and for patients with normal or minimally elevated ALT, if serum HBV DNA levels are >2,000 IU/mL. If the HBV DNA is lower than this threshold, patients should be observed closely with measurements of HBV DNA and ALT every three months and considered for therapy if these measurements remain persistently high.

Patients with Decompensated Cirrhosis
All patients with hepatic decompensation should be treated with an antiviral agent regardless of HBV DNA concentration to suppress viral replication and prevent possible flares in disease activity. Such patients should be considered for liver transplantation and selection of the appropriate HBV therapy should be made in consultation with the local liver transplant program.

Treatment should be promptly initiated with a nucleoside / nucleotide analogue that can produce rapid viral suppression with low risk of drug resistance.

Figure 9 shows the treatment algorithm for patients with compensated and decompensated cirrhosis.

Treatment Considerations

Compensated Cirrhosis
Patients with compensated cirrhosis are best treated with nucleosides / nucleotide analogues. Clinical studies indicate that fibrosis and cirrhosis progression can be stabilised or even reversed if viral suppression can be maintained. These agents can also reduce the risk of hepatic decompensation and possibly the development of HCC. In view of the need for long-term therapy, either tenofovir, entecavir or adefovir is preferred.

Long-term treatment is recommended and thus surveillance for emergence of resistance and encouragement for the patient to be compliant with treatment are critical. However, treatment may be stopped in HBeAg-positive patients with confirmed HBeAg seroconversion and who have completed at least 6 months of consolidation therapy and in HBeAg-negative patients if they have confirmed HBsAg clearance. PegIFN may be used with caution in early, well compensated cirrhosis. PegIFN should be immediately discontinued if ALT increases are severe and progressive despite reduction of pegIFN dosage or if there is an accompanying increase in bilirubin or evidence of hepatic decompensation. The safety and optimal dose of pegIFN in patients with compensated HBV-cirrhosis remains to be established in larger studies. Close monitoring for viral relapse and hepatitis flare is mandatory if treatment is stopped.

Hepatic Decompensation
In patients with decompensated cirrhosis the development of antiviral resistance may be associated with a potentially fatal flare of disease activity.
Select a potent first-line agent with a high genetic barrier. Add a second agent, without cross resistance, early to maximise treatment outcomes if there is inadequate viral suppression with the single agent (see: 10 Combination & Dual Therapy Strategies).

Whilst lamivudine and adefovir have been shown to stabilise or improve hepatic function, diminish the need for liver transplantation and reduce CHB recurrence following liver transplantation, it is preferable to use combinations with a higher genetic barrier. Tenofovir and entecavir have not been fully evaluated for use in this patient population, but, barring unexpected toxicity, should be effective agents. The combination of tenofovir / lamivudine may be a promising rescue therapy for these patients, particularly those with pre-existing resistance. Renal function must be monitored carefully if adefovir and tenofovir are used. Life-long treatment is recommended. (II-3)

PegIFN is contraindicated in patients with decompensated liver disease. (II-3)

Figure 11: Algorithm for Compensated and Decompensated Cirrhosis

Compensated Cirrhosis (HBeAg+ or HBeAg-)

- HBV DNA < 2,000 IU / mL
  - Treatment Considerations:
    - Treat or observe (II-2)
    - TDF, ETV or ADV preferred (II-3)†
    - To avoid flares pegIFN should only be used with caution in early, well-compensated cirrhosis (II-3)†
    - Long term treatment required (I)

- HBV DNA ≥ 2,000 IU / mL
  - Treatment Considerations:
    - Treat (II-2)
    - TDF, ETV or ADV preferred (II-3)†
    - To avoid flares pegIFN should only be used with caution in early, well-compensated cirrhosis (II-3)†
    - Long term treatment required (I)

Decompensated Cirrhosis (HBeAg+ or HBeAg-)

Any detectable level of HBV DNA

- Treatment Considerations:
  - Treat early (II-1)
  - Combination with a nucleotide (TDF / ADV) and a nucleoside (LAM / ETV) or use a drug with a high barrier to resistance (II-2); † pegIFN is contraindicated (II-3)
  - Life-long treatment required (II-3)
  - Consider / refer to transplant centre for evaluation for OLT (III)

†Please refer to PBS / Pharmac Schedule for reimbursed indication

ADV adefovir  HBIG hepatitis B Immunoglobulin  LdT telbivudine
ETV entecavir  IFN standard interferon  pegIFN pegylated interferon
FTC emtricitabine  LAM lamivudine  TDF tenofovir
HIV/HBV Co-infection

HIV/HBV co-infection has become a significant global health problem. The prevalence of HBV co-infection in HIV-infected patients is approximately 10%.195 CHB prevalence is higher among HIV-infected persons than among the general population.

Liver disease is one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality in individuals with HIV infection.196 Particularly those co-infected with HBV.197 Co-infected patients are less likely to clear acute HBV infection spontaneously, tend to have higher HBV replication and more histologically advanced disease compared to monoinfection with either virus.197-199 Optimal management of CHB is a priority in HIV/HBV co-infected patients.

Goal of Therapy

The principal goal of treatment in co-infection is the same as in monoinfection, to prevent or delay the development of cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma.184 To achieve this, treatment objectives are:

1. Undetectable HBV DNA by PCR
2. ALT within normal limits

When to Treat

Treatment of co-infected individuals is complex, and there are a number of unresolved management issues196, 200 including the role of liver biopsy, the significance of normal ALT levels, HBV DNA treatment thresholds, treatment endpoints, and the treatment of HBV when HIV does not yet require treatment.

Treatment of HBV should be considered in individuals with HIV/HBV coinfection with evidence of significant fibrosis (≥F2), or with elevated HBV DNA levels (>2,000 IU/mL). (II-3)196, 201 Patients who do not meet criteria for anti-HIV treatment should undergo a liver biopsy to evaluate hepatic inflammation and fibrosis stage. (II-3) Those with a METAVIR fibrosis score ≥ F2 should receive antiviral therapy for CHB.201

Treatment Considerations

At present, treatment of HBV in HIV/HBV co-infection is lifelong. If antiretroviral therapy is required, then two agents with anti-HBV activity should be incorporated into the regimen.

Close monitoring is necessary to detect treatment failure or hepatic flares, such as immune reconstitution disease.

Co-infected patients who do not meet criteria for anti-HIV treatment. If highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) is not required in HBeAg-positive patients, consider pegIFN or adefovir.184 Typically, pegIFN is not preferred for treatment of HBeAg-negative patients because the probability of achieving HBsAg seroconversion and maintaining HBV DNA suppression off-therapy is low. (II-3)201, 202 These patients should not receive agents with dual activity (e.g. lamivudine, entecavir, tenofovir, and emtricitabine) which would increase the risk of early HIV resistance and may limit future HIV therapeutic options. (III)203-205 Although telbivudine does not target HIV, telbivudine should not be used. (II-3) Patients generally need long-term maintenance therapy.

Co-infected patients who meet criteria for anti-HIV and CHB treatment. The immune restoration associated with HAART can improve control of HBV replication and induce loss of HBeAg in some patients,21 but can also lead to increased immune-mediated liver injury.197 On balance, use of HAART before severe immunosuppression develops may be beneficial. Still, potential interactions of therapy for CHB and HIV, including drug interactions, must be evaluated for each individual.

HAART that includes two dual-acting drugs (e.g. lamivudine, tenofovir, emtricitabine, entecavir) constitutes the preferred option for these patients.201, 206 The best choice is to combine a nucleoside and a nucleotide analogue to prevent long-term resistance (i.e., tenofovir / lamivudine). (II-3)206-210 Adefovir can be substituted for tenofovir if the latter is contraindicated or otherwise not a desirable option.211 Similarly, entecavir offers an alternative to emtricitabine or lamivudine.119, 184 If HAART is altered because of intolerance or lack of efficacy, the CHB component should be continued, or substituted with another agent.200 Stopping CHB therapy has been associated with HBV reactivation and ALT flares.212 If the patient has achieved HBeAg seroconversion an adequate course of consolidation treatment must be undertaken prior to discontinuation of CHB treatment.
Co-infected patients who meet criteria for HAART only. Individuals with persistent controlled HBV replication may not need agents with dual activity. Monitor ALT and serum HBV DNA every three months. If CHB treatment does not begin at the same time as antiretroviral treatment, delay its introduction until HIV replication is controlled or there is evidence of liver disease. Specifically, monitor HBV DNA for the anti-HBV treatment thresholds.

Co-infected patients with LAM-Resistance. Lamivudine resistance is reported to be higher in HBV/HIV co-infection. Detectable HBV viraemia >200 IU/mL at 48 weeks is a risk factor for the development of CHB drug resistance. These patients require a HAART regimen with maximum activity against both viruses. Lamivudine should be maintained and adefovir or tenofovir should be added.

Co-infected patients with cirrhosis. Cirrhotic patients should receive combination CHB therapy (e.g., tenofovir plus emtricitabine or lamivudine included in the HAART regimen or adefovir plus entecavir or telbivudine if there is no indication for anti-HIV therapy). Patients with cirrhosis should be monitored closely during the first 12 to 24 weeks of therapy because of risk of ALT flare and immune reconstitution hepatitis. Serum HBV DNA should be assessed every 12 weeks, especially for patients with CD4 counts <200 /mm³. Patients with liver decompensation should be treated with combination anti-HBV therapy and considered for liver transplantation.

HBV/HCV Co-infection

HBV and hepatitis C virus (HCV) co-infection is common. Patients who are co-infected represent a unique group with diverse serologic profiles. In patients with CHB, estimates of the rates of HCV coinfection vary from 9 to 30%, depending on the geographic region. These numbers may underestimate the true number of patients with both viral infections because no large-scale studies have been performed, and there is a well-described phenomenon of “serologically silent” occult HBV infection (i.e. patients with negative HBSAg but detectable serum HBV DNA) in patients with chronic hepatitis C (CHC). Combined CHB and CHC leads to more severe liver disease, higher rates of cirrhosis with decompensation and an increased risk of hepatocellular carcinoma.

Co-infected HBV/HCV patients represent a treatment challenge. Several studies have shown that the two infections interact with each other, affect immune responses and can reciprocally (and simultaneously) inhibit each other. Either virus can play a dominant role and both viruses have the ability to induce seroconversion of the other. The chronology of infection has a role in determining the dominant virus, and HBV and HCV can alternate their dominance. However, the overall dominant effect appears to be HCV suppression of HBV. Furthermore, co-infected patients have lower levels of both HBV DNA and HCV RNA than corresponding monoinfected controls, indicating that concurrent suppression of both viruses by the other virus can also occur.

Goal of Therapy

The principal goal of anti-HBV treatment in co-infection is the same as monoinfection, i.e. prevent or delay the development of complications of cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma. To achieve this, treatment objectives are:

1. Undetectable HBV DNA and HCV DNA by PCR
2. ALT within normal limits

When to Treat

There is no currently established standard of care for patients who are co-infected with HBV and HCV, although assessment of the “dominant” virus is helpful in determining a treatment strategy. Thorough serologic and virologic testing is required in dually infected patients prior to consideration of therapy. Caution must be taken with treatment of co-infected individuals, as exacerbations of liver disease after initiation of therapy have been described. This is likely due to loss of viral suppression from the successfully treated dominant virus. Patients are candidates for therapy if they meet the inclusion criteria for standard treatment guidelines for either HBV or HCV monoinfection.

Treatment Considerations

Treatment should be individualised based on patient variables such as serologic and virologic profiles, prior exposure to antiviral treatment, and the presence of other parenterally transmitted viruses such as hepatitis D virus and HIV. In general, the same treatment criteria should be applied to patients who are HBV/HCV dually infected as are applied to monoinfected patients.
Co-infected patients with HCV dominant disease. PegIFN plus ribavirin treatment in standard doses for twelve months is an option. An initial study adding lamivudine to interferon was effective in coinfected patients with CHC and active HBV replication. Future studies are needed to assess the effectiveness of pegIFN as well as triple therapy with an antiviral active against HBV, pegIFN and ribavirin in coinfected patients.

Co-infected patients with HBV dominant disease. PegIFN, with or without adefovir or entecavir, is a reasonable initial option.

Co-infected patients with decompensated cirrhosis. Referral to a transplant centre is indicated for patients with decompensated cirrhosis or HCC in appropriate patients. A few studies have found lower survival rates of patients with CHB/CHC monoinfection compared with dually infected patients, suggesting a possible beneficial role of viral coinfection in the immunosuppressed post-transplant population.

Triple infection with HCV/HBV/HDV. Few treatment studies have been published. On empirical grounds, pegIFN is a reasonable treatment option despite the lack of data to support its use.

Triple infection with HCV/HBV/HIV. Data on treatment of patients with triple infection is scant, so treatment algorithms are often extrapolated from results of trials of patients with either HBV/ HIV or HCV/HIV co-infection. As no standard of care exists for these patients, individual care plans should be coordinated with an HIV specialist and hepatologist.

HBV/HDV Co-infection

Hepatitis delta virus (HDV) is an incomplete RNA virus that only infects patients with pre-existing HBV infection. Approximately 5% of the global HBsAg carriers are also co-infected with HDV, leading to a total of 10-15 million HDV carriers worldwide. High prevalence areas of HDV infection include Italy, some parts of Eastern Europe, the Amazon basin, Venezuela, Columbia, some Pacific Islands, Pakistan, and Western Asia.

Acute HDV infection can occur concurrently with HBV infection (co-infection) or in a patient with established HBV infection (superinfection). Around 70-90% of infected patients will progress to chronicity and follow a rapid progressive course to cirrhosis.

Goal of Therapy

The principal goal of CHD treatment is to prevent or delay the development of complications of cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma. To achieve this, treatment objectives are:

1. Undetectable HDV RNA by PCR
2. ALT within normal limits

Treatment Considerations

CHD is difficult to treat but, as the risks of end-stage liver disease are higher, active therapeutic intervention for CHB and D is required. Many studies have investigated standard interferon treatment in CHD. Recent studies show pegIFN treatment at standard doses for a minimum of 12 months is a promising option.

Persistent disappearance of HDV RNA after 6-12 months of treatment with pegIFN in previous standard interferon nonresponders has been reported. In small studies, a sustained response (categorised as undetectable HDV RNA by PCR and normalisation of ALT six months after treatment) was achieved in 17-43% of CHD patients. Nonresponders were identified by a <3 log decrease of HDV RNA at 6 months of treatment.

In CHD patients, there is no evidence that ribavirin, acyclovir or lamivudine, alone or in combination with interferon-based therapy, enhances treatment outcomes.

Chemo- and Immunosuppressive Therapy

Patients with CHB have a substantial risk of reactivation and jaundice following the use of immunosuppressant therapy. While the majority of cases have been reported among patients who are HBsAg positive, any previous exposure to HBV infection increases the risk of reactivation. The risk of reactivation for HBsAg-positive patients undergoing chemotherapy is between 33 and 67%, and mortality rates, primarily related to liver failure, range from 4-60%. Reactivation may also interrupt cancer treatment. Treatment delays for up to 100 days contribute to lower disease free and lower overall survival.
In a study of breast cancer patients, over 70% with HBV reactivation required premature termination of chemotherapy or disruption of treatment.\textsuperscript{276}

Regimens containing high dose steroid or rituximab independently increase risk.\textsuperscript{277} Patient factors conferring increased risk include high serum HBV DNA pre-chemotherapy, sex and high levels of ALT.\textsuperscript{278, 279}

**Screening**

HBsAg screening should be performed in all persons, prior to initiation of chemo- or immunosuppressive therapy.\textsuperscript{(i)} All patients undergoing bone marrow or solid organ transplantation or immunosuppressive monoclonal antibody therapy (rituximab, infliximab) should be screened for HBV markers prior to treatment.\textsuperscript{(i)}

**Treatment Considerations**

**HBV naïve patients.** Patients should be immunised against hepatitis B, as should haematopoietic stem cell donors.

**HBsAg or HBV DNA positive patients. (II)**\textsuperscript{275, 280-283} Prophylactic lamivudine can prevent or at least ameliorate the course of reactivation.\textsuperscript{(I)}\textsuperscript{277, 280, 284-286} with a systematic review identifying a reduction in reactivation rates of between four and sevenfold.\textsuperscript{286} Preemptive prophylactic lamivudine therapy also increases the likelihood of patients completing chemotherapy without interruption.\textsuperscript{280}

The optimal duration of lamivudine prophylaxis remains controversial. People with high baseline HBV DNA >2,000 IU/mL should continue treatment until they reach treatment end points for CHB.\textsuperscript{(III)} Those with baseline HBV DNA <2,000 IU/mL should continue treatment for at least six months to 1 year following the completion of chemotherapy.\textsuperscript{276, 280, 284, 287}

Whilst lamivudine has the largest body of evidence in the setting, newer analogues such as adefovir, tenofovir and entecavir are likely to be equally effective particularly in patients who require more than 12 months of therapy.\textsuperscript{(III)} In immunosuppressed patients, a cumulative resistance rate of 41% after 31 months in HBsAg-positive patients receiving lamivudine has been observed. In these cases, the administration of additional or alternative antiviral agents may be necessary. PegIFN/IFN should be avoided in view of the bone marrow suppressive effect and the risk of exacerbating immune-mediated diseases (autoimmune disorder, graft rejection, or graft vs. host disease).\textsuperscript{(II-3)}

**HBsAg-negative, anti-HBC positive patients.** While HBsAg-negative patients are at lower risk of reactivation (<5%), re-emergence of HBV may be severe and even fatal. Currently there is insufficient information to recommend routine nucleoside/ nucleotide analogue prophylaxis for these individuals. Patients should be monitored regularly with HBV DNA, ALT and HBsAg testing every 1-3 months and antiviral therapy should be initiated as soon as there is evidence of HBV reactivation, without waiting for a rise in ALT.\textsuperscript{275}

Vaccination of isolated anti-Hbc-positive patients with a single dose of HBV vaccine can be considered. Antiviral prophylaxis may be more strongly indicated in those who remain with isolated anti-Hbc than in those who develop an anti-Hbs response.\textsuperscript{288}

**HBsAg-negative, anti-HBc negative patients.** Prophylaxis is not required.

All patients undergoing cytotoxic / immunosuppressive therapy should be checked routinely for HBV serologic markers and serum HBV DNA levels.

**Liver Transplant Patients**

Liver transplantation is used to treat end-stage CHB liver disease. Reactivation of HBV infection after transplantation in HBsAg carriers may be fatal. Mortality in the pre-HBig era was high with a 5-year survival rate of between 40 and 60%.\textsuperscript{289}

**Goal of Therapy**

The principal goal of treatment is to decrease disease progression, prevent HCC and reinfection post-transplant. To achieve this, treatment objectives are:

1. Undetectable HBV DNA by PCR
2. ALT within normal limits

**Treatment Considerations**

All patients awaiting transplantation should be immunised against HBV, although the likelihood of an effective antibody response is low.\textsuperscript{290} Recipients with documented seroconversion following HBV immunisation and persistent protective levels of anti-HBs (>10 IU/mL) do not require antiviral prophylaxis.\textsuperscript{291}
HBeAg negative, HBV DNA negative. No treatment is necessary prior to liver transplantation. HCC screening with alpha-fetoprotein levels and imaging of the liver every 6 months is recommended.

High-risk group (HBeAg-positive, HBV DNA detectable). In order to prevent HBV recurrence post-liver transplantation and to keep viral replication to the lowest possible level at the time of surgery, patients should be commenced on antiviral therapy at the time of placement on the transplant waiting list (if not before) and started on combination lamivudine plus Hepatitis B Immunoglobulin (HBIG) during the anhepatic phase or immediately post-operatively. Life-long combination prophylaxis with low-dose intramuscular HBIG and lamivudine is effective in preventing recurrent HBV, may protect against the emergence of resistant mutants, and is significantly more cost-effective than HBIG monotherapy or high dose HBIG combination therapy.

Resistance occurs more frequently, and at an earlier time point in the transplantation setting with immunosuppressed patients. In patients with YMDD mutations and evidence of viral reactivation combination of lamivudine and adefovir, or the latter alone, is recommended. Recipients of other organs (e.g. kidney, lung) should receive lamivudine prophylaxis for at least the first post-transplant year during which time immunosuppression levels are at their highest.

Low-risk group (HBeAg-Negative, HBV DNA-negative). HBIG should be initiated during the anhepatic phase. Lamivudine and/or adefovir (in presence of YMDD mutations) should be given starting the day of the transplant and both monthly intramuscular low dose HBIG and an antiviral drug should be given indefinitely.

HBSAg-negative, HBV DNA-negative, anti-HBc positive, anti-HBs positive. No treatment is necessary prior to transplant since the risk of recurrence is very low.

Post-transplantation. After twelve months post-transplantation switch to a combination of ADV/LAM which can provide effective prophylaxis against recurrence, equivalent to that provided by ongoing HBIG/LAM therapy.

Furthermore, combination ADV/LAM therapy is less expensive and is less burdensome to patients.

Pregnancy/Lactation

Women with CHB generally do well during pregnancy providing they have not progressed to decompensated cirrhosis. While there are case reports and studies indicating an increased incidence of maternal and neonatal morbidity (e.g. premature delivery, raised incidence of antepartum haemorrhage, foetal distress, meconium peritonitis, gestational diabetes), as a general rule, a stable liver equals a safe pregnancy.

HBSAg-positive women who become pregnant may continue antiviral treatment only if the potential benefit of treatment outweighs the risk to the foetus. Careful consideration should be given to discontinuing pegIFN/interferon (Category B3) and nucleoside analogue therapy (Category B3) unless treatment is absolutely indicated. Given the uncertainties regarding foetal risks, no clear recommendations on treatment can be made at this time.

Mother to child transmission occurs through exposure to blood or blood contaminated fluids at, or around, the time of birth. The risk of perinatal transmission is associated with the HBeAg status of the mother. If a mother is positive for both HBsAg and HBeAg, 70% to 90% of her children become chronically infected. If a mother is HBsAg-positive but HBeAg-negative the risk of transmission is significantly lower.

HBSAg screening. All pregnant women should be screened for HBsAg, even if previously tested or vaccinated.

Modes of delivery. Although elective caesarean delivery has been proposed as a means of reducing mother to child transmission, the mode of delivery does not appear to have a significant effect on vertical transmission.

Management of infants. All infants born to HBsAg positive women should receive hepatitis B vaccine and HBIG (0.5 mL) ≤12 hours of birth, administered at different injection sites. HBIG and concurrent hepatitis B vaccine have been shown to be 95% efficacious in the prevention of perinatal transmission of HBV. The evidence on immunisation for infants of HBsAg-positive mothers is strong and there is...
no clear effect of the mother’s HBeAg status on immunisation success. In general, the risk of perinatal transmission from HBeAg-negative mothers is lower than from mothers who are HBeAg-positive but this should not affect the decision to immunise.

**Management of pregnant women with high viral loads.** The efficacy of HBIG and the hepatitis B vaccine may be lower in mothers with very high serum HBV DNA levels (>8 log IU/mL) at the time of delivery. In these patients there is limited evidence to suggest lamivudine, taken in the last month of pregnancy, may reduce high viral load and reduce, but not eliminate, the risk of child vaccination breakthrough.

At this stage the routine use of antiviral agents during the third trimester of pregnancy to reduce the risk of vertical transmission in pregnant women with high levels of HBV DNA is not supported by available evidence.

Breastfeeding. HBsAg-positive mothers are encouraged to breastfeed their babies. While HBV has been isolated in breast milk, the risk of HBV transmission is very low and comparable for both breast-fed and formula-fed infants. Transmission may be more common in women with the HBeAg. It is recommended that the baby breastfeeds after the administration of the HBIG.

As the virus is most commonly passed by blood-to-blood routes, breastfeeding should be avoided if the mother has cracked or bleeding nipples.
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